

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/802,610	Applicant(s) VANN ET AL.	
	Examiner Amy T. Lang	Art Unit 1714	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Amy T. Lang.

(3) _____

(2) Gary Katz.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 09/17/06

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Interview was initiated to discuss an examiner's amendment to change the "at least 20 wt%" limitation in claim 1 to "at least 20 to 80 wt%."

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Vasu Jagannathan
VASU JAGANNATHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)